Received 16 December 2002 Accepted 7 January 2003

Online 17 January 2003

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Mourad Hidouri,^a* Besma Lajmi,^a Ahmed Driss^b and Mongi Ben Amara^a

^aDépartement de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences, 5000 Monastir, Tunisia, and ^bDépartement de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences, 1060 Campus Universitaire, Tunis, Tunisia

Correspondence e-mail: mourad_hidouri@yahoo.fr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (Mg–O) = 0.002 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.071 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

The alluaudite-like phosphate $Na_{1.79}Mg_{1.79}Fe_{1.21}(PO_4)_3$

The title phosphate, Na_{1.79}Mg_{1.79}Fe_{1.21}(PO₄)₃ (sodium magnesium iron phosphate), has been prepared as single crystals and its structure determined from X-ray diffraction data. The structure belongs to the alluaudite structure type, characterized by the $X2X1M1M2_2$ (PO₄)₃ general formula. The Na⁺ ions occupy the large X2 and X1 sites, the Fe³⁺ ions partially occupy the M2 site and the Mg²⁺ ions are distributed over the M1 and M2 sites.

Comment

Phosphates with the alluaudite structure type (monoclinic C2/c, Z = 4) (Moore, 1971) are studied quite frequently. These materials have the $X2X1M1M2_2(PO_4)_3$ general formula and are known to be highly susceptible to substitution in the X2, X1, M1 and M2 sites, which can incorporate a variety of cations with different ionic radii and charges, leading to the formation of solid solutions in large composition domains (Hatert *et al.*, 2000, 2002).

During an investigation of monophosphates belonging to the Na₃PO₄–Mg₃(PO₄)₂–FePO₄ system, to establish the role of Mg²⁺ in the crystal chemistry of such materials, we have isolated the Na_{1+x}Mg_{1+x}Fe_{2-x}(PO₄)₃ (x from 0.5 to 1) alluaudite-like solid solution. The structure with x = 0.79 was determined by X-ray diffraction. This structure, as viewed along the [001] direction, is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of $M2_2O_{10}$ (M2 = 0.4Mg2 + 0.6Fe2) units of edge-sharing $M2O_6$



Figure 1

The structure of Na_{1.79}Mg_{1.79}Fe_{1.21}(PO₄)₃, viewed along the *c* direction. The Mg1O₆ octahedra are illustrated by cross-hatched patterns. The remaining polyhedra are the $M2O_6$ (M2 = Fe or Mg) octahedra and PO₄ tetrahedra. The Na⁺ cations are represented by solid circles.

 \odot 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 2

A view of the infinite chains in the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

octahedra. These units share opposite edges with Mg1O₆ octahedra to form infinite -M2-M2-Mg1- chains running along the [101] direction (Fig. 2). These chains are linked to similar neighboring chains *via* common corners of P1O₄ and P2O₄ tetrahedra. The P1O₄ tetrahedron connects two chains by sharing each pair of its O atoms with one chain. The P2O₄ tetrahedron connects three adjacent chains by sharing two of its O atoms with one chain and the remaining two O atoms with two different chains.

The three-dimensional framework constructed in this way generates two crystallographically independent tunnels running along the *c* axis. These tunnels, located at 0,0,*z* and at $\frac{1}{2}$,0,*z*, respectively, are available for the Na⁺ cations.

Table 1 lists the principal bond distances and angles in $Na_{1.79}Mg_{1.79}Fe_{1.21}(PO_4)_3$. The $M2O_6$ octahedron is distorted, as indicated by the M2-O bond lengths and O-M2-O bond angles. Such distortion can be correlated to the rigidity of the phosphate groups which connect the chains. The Mg1O₆ octahedron is more distorted than $M2O_6$. However, the average Mg1-O distance is consistent with those observed in other phosphates containing Mg²⁺ in an octahedral environment (Alkemper & Fuess, 1998).

The interatomic distances in the phosphate tetrahedra are similar to those observed in phosphates without hydrogen bonding (Corbin *et al.*, 1986; Korzenski *et al.*, 1998; Warner *et al.*, 1993). The P1O₄ tetrahedron is slightly more regular than P2O₄, which is in accordance with the fact that the P1 atom sits on a twofold axis, while atom P2 is located in a general position.

The coordination environment of each sodium ion was determined using Na–O distances less than 3.0 Å. Na1 has a very distorted cubic environment. Each Na1O₈ polyhedron shares faces with equivalent polyhedra that form chains in the *c* direction. The Na2 site is partially filled, with an occupation number of 0.79. This site has a strongly irregular environment which consists of four O atoms at short distances and four others at rather long distances.

The structure of $Na_{1.79}Mg_{1.79}Fe_{1.21}(PO_4)_3$ was compared to that of the natural alluaudite from the Buragna pegmatite of Central Africa (Moore, 1971). In spite of numerous similarities, the two compounds are not isostructural, since the

cation distribution within the sites in the tunnels is not exactly the same. In fact, in the title compound, the X2 site (at $0,\sim0,0$) is partially occupied, while the X1 site (at $\frac{1}{2},0,0$) is totally filled with Na⁺ cations. By contrast, the natural alluaudite of Buragna features an X1 site partially occupied by Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ cations and an empty X2 site.

Experimental

Crystals of Na_{1.79}Mg_{1.79}Fe_{1.21}(PO₄)₃ were grown in a flux of sodium dimolybdate. Amounts of starting products corresponding to the stoichiometry of the crystals studied [Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, NaH₂PO₄, (NH₄)₂HPO₄ and MoO₃] were mixed and gradually heated up to 873 K to allow the production of ammonia, water and carbon dioxide. After final grinding, the sample was melted for 1 h at 1173 K and then cooled down to room temperature at a rate of 10 K h⁻¹. The crystals, obtained after washing with hot water to remove the flux, were yellow and existed in two forms, parallelepipeds and more elongated crystals.

Crystal data

Fe _{1.21} Mg _{1.79} Na _{1.79} O ₁₂ P ₃	$D_x = 3.36 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 437.5$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Cell parameters from 25
a = 11.791 (3) Å	reflections
b = 12.489(3) Å	$\theta = 10-12^{\circ}$
c = 6.4191 (10) Å	$\mu = 2.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 113.82 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 864.7 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	Parallelepiped, yellow
Z = 4	$0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

$E_{e^2} = 012$	1 9599 (19)	Na1_012 ^{viii}	2 9030 (19)
$Fe^2 = O12$	1.9395(19) 1.9715(19)	Na2 = 0.022	2.9650(19) 2 451 (2)
$Fe^2 = O^2 3$	2.0415(18)	$Na2 = O22^{i}$	2.131(2) 2.5816(19)
$F_{e2} = O25$	2.0415(10) 2.0506(18)	$N_{2} = 022$ $N_{2} = 023$	2.3010(1)) 2.827(3)
$F_{e2} = 0.24$	2.0570 (18)	$N_{a2} = 023$ $N_{a2} = 011^{ix}$	2.827(3)
$F_{0}^{2} = O_{11}^{iv}$	2.0375(10) 2.1825(10)	$P_1 \cap 12^{v_i}$	2.009(3) 1 5208(18)
$M_{c1} = O21^{iv}$	2.1623(19) 2.112(2)	$P_{1} = O_{12}$	1.5596(10) 1.5547(17)
$M_{21} = O_{21}$	2.113(2) 2.1426(17)	P2 021	1.5347(17) 1.5292(19)
Mg1_012	2.1430(17) 2.1628(10)	P2-021	1.3265(16) 1.5414(18)
Mg1 = 0.25 No1 $= 0.12^{vi}$	2.1026(19) 2.2041(18)	P2-022	1.3414(10) 1.5546(10)
Na1 = O12 $Na1 = O21^{vii}$	2.3041(10)	P2-024	1.3340(18) 1.5601(18)
Na1 = 021	2.5696(19)	F2=023	1.3001 (18)
Na1-021	2.3424 (18)		
O12-Fe2-O22 ⁱ	94.56 (8)	$O11^{iii}$ -Mg1-O11 ^{xi}	148.33 (11)
O12-Fe2-O23	109.33 (8)	$O21^{iv} - Mg1 - O23^{v}$	163.53 (8)
O22 ⁱ -Fe2-O23	87.05 (7)	$O21^{x} - Mg1 - O23^{v}$	86.08 (7)
O12-Fe2-O24 ⁱⁱ	87.27 (8)	$O11^{iii} - Mg1 - O23^{v}$	87.36 (7)
O22 ⁱ -Fe2-O24 ⁱⁱ	101.23 (8)	$O11^{xi} - Mg1 - O23^{v}$	74.35 (7)
O23-Fe2-O24 ⁱⁱ	160.97 (7)	O21 ^x -Mg1-O23	163.53 (8)
O12-Fe2-O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	162.93 (7)	O23 ^v -Mg1-O23	109.29 (11)
O22 ⁱ -Fe2-O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	100.95 (7)	$O12^{vi} - P1 - O12$	103.82 (14)
O23-Fe2-O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	78.80 (7)	O12vi-P1-O11vi	112.49 (9)
O24 ⁱⁱ -Fe2-O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	82.78 (7)	O12-P1-O11 ^{vi}	108.48 (9)
O12-Fe2-O24 ^{iv}	80.16 (7)	O11 ^{vi} -P1-O11	110.92 (14)
O22 ⁱ -Fe2-O24 ^{iv}	172.65 (7)	O21-P2-O22	112.70 (10)
O23-Fe2-O24 ^{iv}	89.88 (7)	O21-P2-O24	108.36 (10)
O24 ⁱⁱ -Fe2-O24 ^{iv}	83.72 (7)	O22-P2-O24	109.31 (10)
O11 ⁱⁱⁱ -Fe2-O24 ^{iv}	84.97 (7)	O21-P2-O23	111.09 (10)
O21 ^{iv} -Mg1-O21 ^x	79.36 (10)	O22-P2-O23	107.08 (10)
$O21^{iv} - Mg1 - O11^{iii}$	91.34 (7)	O24-P2-O23	108.21 (10)
$O21^{x} - Mg1 - O11^{iii}$	113.37 (7)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) x, y, z - 1; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$; (v) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (vi) $1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (vii) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (viii) 1 - x, -y, -z; (ix) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$; (x) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.

Data collectionEnraf-Nonius TurboCAD4
diffractometerNon-profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scansAbsorption correction: analytical
(Alcock, 1970) $T_{min} = 0.59, T_{max} = 0.73$ 1138 measured reflections1048 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ $wR(F^2) = 0.071$ S = 1.151048 reflections 98 parameters

982 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\text{int}} &= 0.014 \\ \theta_{\text{max}} &= 28.0^{\circ} \\ h &= -15 \rightarrow 14 \\ k &= -16 \rightarrow 0 \\ l &= 0 \rightarrow 8 \\ 2 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{frequency: } 120 \text{ min} \\ \text{intensity decay: none} \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.033 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.48 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.75 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97} \\ {\rm Extinction \ coefficient: \ 0.0037 \ (6)} \end{array}$

The Fe atoms were located by direct methods, and the remaining atoms were found by successive difference Fourier maps. The occupation number of the *M*2 site was constrained to 1.0 and the occupation number of the Na2 site was set equal to the partial occupation of Mg2 in the *M*2 site, in accordance with the $Na_{1+x}Mg_{1+x}Fe_{2-x}(PO_4)_3$ formula.

Data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: CAD-4 EXPRESS; data reduction: XCAD4 (Harms &

Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1998).

References

Alcock, N. W. (1970). *Crystallographic Computing*, edited by F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall and C. P. Huber, p. 271. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.

Alkemper, J. & Fuess, H. (1998). Z. Kristallogr. 213, 282-287.

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.

Corbin, D. R., Whitney, J. F, Fulz, W. C, Stucky, G. D., Eddy, M. M. & Cheetham, A. K. (1986). *Inorg. Chem.* 25, 2279–2280.

Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1998). WinGX. University of Glasgow, Scotland.

Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany. Hatert, F., Antenucci, D., Fransolet, A.-M. & Liugeois-Duyckearts, M. (2002).

J. Solid State Chem. **163**, 194–201. Hatert, F., Keller, P., Lissner F., Antenucci D. & Fransolet, A.-M. (2000). *Eur. J. Mineral.* **12**, 847–857.

Korzenski, M. B., Schimek, G. L. & Kolis, J. W. (1998). J. Solid State Chem. 139, 152–160.

Moore, P. B. (1971). Am. Mineral. 56, 1955-1975.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Warner, T. E., Milius, W. & Maier, J. (1993). J. Solid State Chem. 106, 301-309.